## ORL-F&HN-02

## Effect of Feeding Frequency on Growth and Production of Red Tilapia *Oreochromis* spp. in a Hapa System

Nabin B.C.\*, Sunila Rai, and Dilip K Jha

Agriculture and Forestry University Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal nabinkshetri13@gmail.com

An experiment was conducted at Fisheries Program, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Nepal to determine the effect of feeding frequency on growth and production of red tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.). The experiment was conducted for 180 days and it included four treatments and three replicates. The treatments were four feeding frequencies: i) feeding once daily (T<sub>1</sub>), ii) feeding twice daily (T<sub>2</sub>), iii) feeding once on alternate day (T<sub>3</sub>) and iv) feeding twice on alternate day (T<sub>4</sub>). One month old sex reversed male red tilapia fries were stocked at a density of 4 fish/m<sup>2</sup> in 2 m<sup>2</sup> (2 m × 1 m × 1 m) hapa fixed in four concrete tanks (5 m × 5 m × 1.5 m). Stocking weight was  $1.63\pm0.08$ ,  $1.69\pm0.04$ ,  $1.63\pm0.07$  and  $1.57\pm0.04$  g/fish in treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> respectively. Fish were fed with 28% CP commercial floating pellet at the rate of 4% of the body weight for first month, followed by 3% body weight for the second month and 2% body weight for the remaining experimental period. Ponds were limed at the rate of 500 kg/ha, and fertilized with urea and DAP at the rate of 4 g N/m<sup>2</sup>/day and 1 g P/m<sup>2</sup>/day respectively. Temperature, DO, and pH were recorded weekly while Secchi disk visibility, SRP, TAN and chlorophyll-a were recorded fortnightly.

Water quality parameters were in normal range and did not differ among treatments (p>0.05). The average harvest weight of fish in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were 197.08±5.19, 182.42±5.60, 135.33±9.18, 136.23±6.89 g/fish, respectively, and growth rate was  $1.09\pm0.03$ ,  $1.00\pm0.03$ ,  $0.74\pm0.05$ ,  $0.74\pm0.04$  g/fish/day. The gross yield of red tilapia was significantly higher (p<0.05) in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (7.22±0.26, 7.30±0.22 t/ha/180 days, respectively) than T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> (5.41±0.37, 5.21±0.21 t/ha/180 days, respectively). The net fish yield was significantly higher (p<0.05) in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (7.21±0.26, 7.29±0.22 t/ha/180 days) than T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> (5.41±0.37, 5.20±0.21 t/ha/180 days, respectively). Higher gross and net yields in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> than T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> was due to higher feed intake providing nutrients for better fish growth. The apparent feed conversion ratio was significantly higher in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> compared to T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> indicating better feed utilization on alternate day treatments. The gross margin did not differ significantly (p>0.05) among treatments and were 931000±4000, 906000±68000, 798000±92000, 727000±36000 NRs/ha/180 days, in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively. Based on higher fish growth rate and yields daily feeding is best for red tilapia.



 $T_1$  = Daily feeding one time;  $T_2$  = Daily feeding two times;  $T_3$  = Alternate day feeding one time;  $T_4$  = Alternate day feeding two times

Figure: Average weight of male red tilapia in different treatments during the experimental period.